BEST COPY

AVAILABLE

JAN 4 ENQUIRAPPROVED For Release 1999/09/07 : CIA-RPI

Circ.: m. 208,963 S. 272,597

Front Stedde Other CPYRGHT

Date: NIEWS CAREE NOTES: NO

'o Tell'Or Not To Tell

GIA THE INSIDE STORY. Tully's book disregards 1.50 Phillips 250 Phillips 24.45 d

HY PREDERICK TEISER.

II would be a grave error organd Andrew Tully's book about the Central intelligence Agency as an exposed One need go no farnor, indeed, then the auhor's acknowledgment of ratitude to the White louse, to Allen Dulles, to Stanley Grogan, CIA's press director, and to other officials of the government o realize that it wished this dory to appear. Reading on, one becomes convinced that the book could not have een written without official msental

But this is not to imply CIAN The Inside Story s either a handout or an attempt to whitewash this nowerful secret organization which after the Cuban flaso of last year, became the arget for such sharp criddem Patently Tully was givin the bitter with the sweet and a free hand in the treate ment of the material. In adtition. the Information of public record—and presumnoiv a substantial amount if reliable gossip-provided what he wanted for a story, conisiting as it may seem, red solidly on fact.



II this answers the quesion of how it was possible or the story to have been willen it leaves open and mer one: From the standpoint of security, should it mestion, to say the least. me of the tenets of an organization engaged in espiocauc is the preservation of secrecy about its set-up and nethods of operation, and eeping mum as to how such it knows about the ther fellow's.

this axiom, presumably with the blessing of the government. It omits few details as to what CIA does and how it does it. Departure from classical intelligence practice, however, appears to be nothing new in Washington, if one recalls President Elsenbower's unprecedented admission, after the U-2 was brought down in the Soviet Union, not only that it was gathering military information but quite right in doing so. The Russians already knew about the U-2 and were laying for it, but did not expect a statement of the sort by the President of the United States. Nor did anyone else. Tully makes a point of this.

The most plausible explanation for the appearance of a book on CIA at this particular time as to be sought in the troubled wake of the Cuban debacle, a 100% CIA operation -99%, if one includes President Kennedy's contribu-tion, which may or may not have affected it adversely.

This was CIA's most notable failure, for in this instance its estimate of the situation in Cuba was drawn from faulty intelligence. Public reaction indicated clearly that CIA be looked into. The President appointed a committee forthwith to find out what was wrong. It recommended first that CIA desist from certain kinds of operation and confine itself to the gathering of informa-tion; second, that a new post of Director Of Intelligence be created to serve immediately under the President at the National Security Council level, and to supervise the independent evaluation of all inteligence collected by both



Andrew Tully

CIA and the military services."

In view of the unfavorable publicity CIA received as a result of Cuba, Washington may have reasoned that an unbiased history of this sensitive agency from its in eption shortly after World War II to the pres ent might reassure the American public more than its revelations would aid and comfort potential enemies This hypothesis, it any more than conjecture is enough to cause purists in secret intelligence circles to sputter, yet it appears to be the only plaus ible one.

CIA as the record shows has as many successes as failures, if not more. Ir other countries, secret on erations and secret intelli gence are set up separately as a rule. CIA, as did its grandparent, the OSS, be fore it combines them un der one roof. Others have found out long ago tha this thes not work out well It has promipted CIA to get tata too many things which are been come pe

than a few other places, on a the other hand, it has displayed a monumental telent for backing the wrong people because they appear to be the "right" people.

Tully cites enough pases to give us a fair notion of how many millions of our good dollars are finding their way to undeserving pockets. His chapter on Iran is an eye-opener do. for that matter, are most of the others.

The same thense recurs constantive Intelligence correct, but ignored or underestimated—as hefore Korea, But General Mac-Arthur never had any use for CLA or its predecessor. OSS. (All he wanted from

the latter, he was supposed to have said at one time. was its Research and Analvsistbranch and its unyouchered funds.

It will come as a surprise to most readers. I believe, that CIA has participated to such a degree in post-war international politics. The author leaves very little incald about these activities. thanks to coplous back-ground material on line. Iran Egypl, Southeast, Asia Formosa and the rost Evidently however CIA began to grow too, but tor its britches. The vast "freewheeling outfit; as Tully calls it, that Allen Dulles had built up and eventually housed in a building next in size to the Pentagon was becoming a power unto liself owing to its exceptional position on not being accountable to Congress (In some of our smaller legations CIA personnel outnumbered that of other agencies).

Some of these activities are due for curtailment, as we've said, pursuant to the recommendations of the President's committee referred to above it has taken cognizance, we are told, "of two major criti-clams of CIA's operations: that Dulles was weaded to his own intelligence estimates even when thtelligence gathered by what organizations ufficied contradictory evidence; and that CIA was not equipped